Homework Assignment 6

Due: Friday, November 8, 2024, 11:59 p.m. Mountain time
Total marks: 26

Policies:

For all multiple-choice questions, note that multiple correct answers may exist. However, selecting an incorrect option will cancel out a correct one. For example, if you select two answers, one correct and one incorrect, you will receive zero points for that question. Similarly, if the number of incorrect answers selected exceeds the correct ones, your score for that question will be zero. Please note that it is not possible to receive negative marks. **You must select all the correct options to get full marks for the question.**

While the syllabus initially indicated the need to submit a paragraph explaining the use of AI or other resources in your assignments, this requirement no longer applies as we are now utilizing eClass quizzes instead of handwritten submissions. Therefore, you are **not** required to submit any explanation regarding the tools or resources (such as online tools or AI) used in completing this quiz.

This PDF version of the questions has been provided for your convenience should you wish to print them and work offline.

Only answers submitted through the eClass quiz system will be graded. Please do not submit a written copy of your responses.

Question 1. [1 MARK]

Consider the predictor $f(x) = xw$, where $w \in \mathbb{R}$ is a one-dimensional parameter, and x represents the feature with no bias term. Suppose you are given a dataset of n data points $\mathcal{D} = ((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \ldots, (x_n, y_n))$, where each y_i is the target variable corresponding to feature x_i. Let the loss function be the scaled squared loss $\ell(f(x), y) = c(f(x) - y)^2$ where $c \in \mathbb{R}$. The estimate of the expected loss for a parameter $w \in \mathbb{R}$ is defined as the following convex function:

$$\hat{L}(w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c(x_i w - y_i)^2$$

What is the closed form solution for $\hat{w} = \arg\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}} \hat{L}(w)$?

a. $\hat{w} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} c x_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2}$

b. $\hat{w} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i}{n}$

c. $\hat{w} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}$

d. $\hat{w} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2}$

Question 2. [1 MARK]

Let everything be defined as in the previous question. Suppose we consider the multivariate case where $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{w}$, and $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$. What is the closed form solution for $\hat{\mathbf{w}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \hat{L}(\mathbf{w})$?

a. $\hat{\mathbf{w}} = A^{-1}b$ where $A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top$ and $b = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_i y_i$ (assume that A is invertible).

b. $\hat{\mathbf{w}} = Ax$ where $A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top$

c. $\hat{\mathbf{w}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_i$

d. $\hat{\mathbf{w}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} c\mathbf{x}_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} c\mathbf{x}_i^2}$

Question 3. [1 MARK]

Let $g(w) = -\ln w \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i - \ln(1-w) \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1-y_i)$ where $w \in \mathbb{R}$. We can rewrite this a bit more simply as $g(w) = -s \ln w - (n-s)\ln(1-w)$ where $s = \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i$. What is the derivative $g'(w)$ and the first order gradient descent update rule with a constant step size η?

a. $g'(w) = -\frac{s}{1-w} + \frac{n-s}{w}$ and update rule $w \leftarrow w - \eta \left(-\frac{s}{1-w} + \frac{n-s}{w}\right)$

b. $g'(w) = -\frac{s}{w} + \frac{n-s}{1-w}$ and update rule $w \leftarrow w - \eta \left(-\frac{s}{1-w} + \frac{n-s}{w}\right)$

c. $g'(w) = -\frac{s}{w} + \frac{n-s}{1-w}$ and update rule $w \leftarrow w - \eta \left(-\frac{s}{w} + \frac{n-s}{1-w}\right)$

d. $g'(w) = -\frac{s}{1-w} - \frac{n-s}{w}$ and update rule $w \leftarrow w - \eta \left(-\frac{s}{1-w} - \frac{n-s}{w}\right)$

Question 4. [1 MARK]

Let everything be defined as in the previous question. What is the second derivative $g''(w)$ and the second order gradient descent update rule?

a. $g''(w) = \frac{s}{w^2} - \frac{n-s}{(1-w)^2}$ and update: $w \leftarrow w - \frac{-\frac{s}{w} + \frac{n-s}{1-w}}{\frac{s}{w^2} - \frac{n-s}{(1-w)^2}}$

b. $g''(w) = \frac{s}{w^2} + \frac{n-s}{(1-w)^2}$ and update: $w \leftarrow w - \frac{-\frac{s}{w} + \frac{n-s}{1-w}}{\frac{s}{w^2} + \frac{n-s}{(1-w)^2}}$

c. $g''(w) = -\frac{s}{w^2} + \frac{n-s}{(1-w)^2}$ and update: $w \leftarrow w - \frac{-\frac{s}{w} + \frac{n-s}{1-w}}{-\frac{s}{w^2} + \frac{n-s}{(1-w)^2}}$

d. $g''(w) = \frac{s}{w^2} + \frac{n-s}{(1-w)^2}$ and update: $w \leftarrow w + \frac{-\frac{s}{w} + \frac{n-s}{1-w}}{\frac{s}{w^2} + \frac{n-s}{(1-w)^2}}$

Question 5. [1 MARK]

Let everything be defined as in the previous question. What is the closed form solution for

$$w^* = \arg\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}} g(w)$$

a. $w^* = n/s$

b. $w^* = s/(n-s)$

c. $w^* = s/(s-n)$

d. $w^* = s/n$

Question 6. [1 MARK]

Let $g(w) = w^4 + e^{-w}$ where $w \in \mathbb{R}$. What is the derivative $g'(w)$ and the first order gradient descent update rule with a constant step size η?

a. $g'(w) = 4w^3 - e^{-w}$ and update: $w \leftarrow w - \eta(4w^3 - e^{-w})$

b. $g'(w) = 4w^3 + e^{-w}$ and update: $w \leftarrow w - \eta(4w^3 + e^{-w})$

c. $g'(w) = 4w^3 + e^{-w}$ and update: $w \leftarrow w + \eta(4w^3 + e^{-w})$

d. $g'(w) = 4w^3 - e^{-w}$ and update: $w \leftarrow w + \eta(4w^3 - e^{-w})$

Question 7. [1 MARK]

Let everything be defined as in the previous question. What is the second derivative $g''(w)$ and the second order gradient descent update rule?

a. $g''(w) = 12w^2 - e^{-w}$ and update: $w \leftarrow w - \frac{4w^3 - e^{-w}}{12w^2 - e^{-w}}$

b. $g''(w) = 12w^2 + e^{-w}$ and update: $w \leftarrow w + \frac{4w^3 - e^{-w}}{12w^2 + e^{-w}}$

c. $g''(w) = 12w^2 + e^{-w}$ and update: $w \leftarrow w - \frac{4w^3 - e^{-w}}{12w^2 + e^{-w}}$

d. $g''(w) = 12w^2 - e^{-w}$ and update: $w \leftarrow w + \frac{4w^3 - e^{-w}}{12w^2 - e^{-w}}$

Question 8. [1 MARK]

Let everything be defined as in the previous question. For the second order update rule, calculate $w^{(1)}$ if $w^{(0)} = 0$.

Question 9. [1 MARK]

Let everything be defined as in the previous question. Change the step size to be calculated using the normalized gradient. For the first order update rule, calculate $w^{(1)}$ if $w^{(0)} = 0$, $\eta = 1$. Only for this problem, set $\epsilon = 0$.

Question 10. [1 MARK]

Let $g(\mathbf{w}) = g(w_1, w_2) = w_1^2 w_2^2 + e^{-w_1} + e^{-w_2}$ where $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^2$. What is the gradient of $g(w)$ and the first order gradient descent update rule with a constant step size η?

a. $\mathbf{w}^{(t+1)} = \mathbf{w}^{(t)} - \eta \left(2w_1^{(t)}(w_2^{(t)})^2, 2w_2^{(t)}(w_1^{(t)})^2\right)^\top$

b. $\mathbf{w}^{(t+1)} = \mathbf{w}^{(t)} - \eta \left(2w_1^{(t)}(w_2^{(t)})^2 - e^{-w_1^{(t)}}, 2w_2^{(t)}(w_1^{(t)})^2 - e^{-w_2^x(t)}\right)^\top$

c. $\mathbf{w}^{(t+1)} = \mathbf{w}^{(t)} - \eta \left(2w_1^{(t)}(w_2^{(t)})^2 + e^{-w_1^{(t)}}, 2w_2^{(t)}(w_1^{(t)})^2 + e^{-w_2^{(t)}}\right)^\top$

d. $\mathbf{w}^{(t+1)} = \mathbf{w}^{(t)} - \eta \left(-2w_1^{(t)}(w_2^{(t)})^2 + e^{-w_1^{(t)}}, -2w_2^{(t)}(w_1^{(t)})^2 + e^{-w_2^{(t)}}\right)^\top$

Question 11. [1 MARK]

If $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{G}$, then is it true that $\min_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \hat{L}(f) \geq \min_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \hat{L}(g)$?

Question 12. [1 MARK]

Consider the setting of polynomial regression. Let $d = 2$, such that $\mathbf{x} = (x_0 = 1, x_1, x_2)$, and $p = 4$, then $\bar{p} = 10$. True or False?

Question 13. [1 MARK]

Let everything be defined as in the previous question. The expression for $\phi_p(\mathbf{x})$ is given by

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \left(x_1, x_2, x_1^2, x_1x_2, x_2^2, x_1^3, x_1^2x_2, x_1x_2^2, x_2^3, x_1^4, x_1^3x_2, x_1^2x_2^2, x_1x_2^3, x_2^4\right) .$$

True or False?

Question 14. [1 MARK]

Suppose that

$$\bar{\mathcal{F}}_p = \{f | f : \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \to \mathbb{R}, \text{ and } f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \log(\phi_p(\boldsymbol{x})^\top \boldsymbol{w}), \text{ for some } \boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{\bar{p}}\}.$$

Is it true that $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_1 \subset \bar{\mathcal{F}}_2$?

Question 15. [1 MARK]

You are predicting house prices. Supose you want to make the irriducible error smaller. If you gather a new feature about houses (that you didn't already have) such as the number of swimming pools in the backyard, is it likely to decrease the irriducible error? True or False?

Question 16. [1 MARK]

Consider the same setting as the previous problem. The estimation error can be reduced by reducing the number of data points. True or False?

Question 17. [1 MARK]

Consider the same setting as the previous problem. The approximation error can be reduced by using a larger function class. True or False?

Question 18. [1 MARK]

You notice your predictor is overfitting. To reduce overfitting, we should make the degree p of the polynomial function class larger. True or False?

Question 19. [1 MARK]

Suppose that you have a small dataset, but a large function class. Would the variance be large or small? Would you expect the bias to be large or small? Would you expect the predictor $\hat{f}_D$ to be underfitting or overfitting the data or neither?

a. variance large, bias large, overfit.

b. variance small, bias large, overfit.

c. variance large, bias small, overfit.

d. variance small, bias small, underfit.

Question 20. [1 MARK]

Suppose that you have a large dataset, but a small function class, and f_{Bayes} is much more complex than any function in the function class. Would the variance be large or small? Would you expect the bias to be large or small? Would expect the predictor $\hat{f}_D$ to be underfitting or overfitting the data or neither?

a. variance large, bias large, underfit.

b. variance small, bias large, underfit.

c. variance small, bias small, neither overfitting nor underfitting.

d. variance large, bias large, neither overfitting nor underfitting.

Question 21. [1 MARK]

Suppose that you have a large dataset, a small function class $\mathcal{F}$, and $f_{\text{Bayes}} \in \mathcal{F}$. Would the variance be large or small? Would you expect the bias to be large or small? Would expect the predictor $\hat{f}_D$ to be underfitting or overfitting the data or neither?

a. variance large, bias large, overfitting.

b. variance small, bias small, overfitting.

c. variance small, bias small, neither overfitting nor underfitting.

d. variance large, bias large, neither overfitting nor underfitting.

Question 22. [1 MARK]

You are using regularization. You notice you are underfitting. You should decrease the value of lambda to reduce underfitting and get a smaller test loss. True or False?

Question 23. [1 MARK]

Suppose you have a dataset $\mathcal{D} = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ containing n i.i.d. flips of a coin. Since the flips are i.i.d. you know they all follow the distribution Bernoulli (α^*). However, you do not know what α^* is so you would like to estimate it using MLE. Which of the following is the maximum likelihood estimate α_{MLE}?

a. $\alpha_{\text{MLE}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i$

b. $\alpha_{\text{MLE}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i$

c. $\alpha_{\text{MLE}} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i$

d. $\alpha_{\text{MLE}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} z_i$

Question 24. [1 MARK]

Assume that $Y|X$ follows a Gaussian distribution with mean $\mu = xw_1$ and variance $\sigma^2 = \exp(xw_2)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2)$ where $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. The negative log-likelihood, can be written as follows for a dataset $\mathcal{D} = ((x_1, y_1), \cdots, (x_n, y_n))$:

$$g(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i(\mathbf{w}) \quad \text{where } g_i(\mathbf{w}) = -\ln p(y_i|x_i, \mathbf{w}),$$

where $p(\cdot|\cdot)$ is the density of the above Gaussian distribution. What is partial derivative of g with respect to w_1?

a. $\frac{\partial g}{\partial w_1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i(y_i - x_i w_1)}{\exp(x_i w_2)}$

b. $\frac{\partial g}{\partial w_1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(y_i - x_i w_1)^2}{2\exp(x_i w_2)}$

c. $\frac{\partial g}{\partial w_1} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i(y_i - x_i w_1)}{\exp(x_i w_2)}$

d. $\frac{\partial g}{\partial w_1} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(y_i - x_i w_1)^2}{\exp(x_i w_2)}$

Question 25. [1 MARK]

Let everything be defined as in the previous question. What is partial derivative of g with respect to w_2?

a. $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(-\frac{(y_i - x_i w_1)^2}{2\exp(x_i w_2)} + x_i\right)$

b. $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{(y_i - x_i w_1)^2}{2\exp(x_i w_2)} + \frac{x_i}{2}\right)$

c. $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{x_i(y_i - x_i w_1)^2}{2\exp(x_i w_2)} - \frac{x_i}{2}\right)$

d. $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(-\frac{x_i(y_i - x_i w_1)^2}{2\exp(x_i w_2)} + \frac{x_i}{2}\right)$

Question 26. [1 MARK]

Let everything be defined as in the previous question. You want to solve for $\mathbf{w}_{\text{MLE}}$ using gradient descent. Using the partial derivatives you calculated in the previous quesitons, what would the gradient update rule look like with a constant step size η?

a. $w_1 \leftarrow w_1 - \eta \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{x_i(y_i - x_i w_1)}{\exp(x_i w_2)}\right), \quad w_2 \leftarrow w_2 - \eta \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{x_i(y_i - x_i w_1)^2}{2\exp(x_i w_2)} - \frac{x_i}{2}\right)$

b. $w_1 \leftarrow w_1 + \eta \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{x_i(y_i - x_i w_1)}{\exp(x_i w_2)}\right), \quad w_2 \leftarrow w_2 + \eta \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{x_i(y_i - x_i w_1)^2}{2\exp(x_i w_2)} - \frac{x_i}{2}\right)$

c. $w_1 \leftarrow w_1 - \eta \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{(y_i - x_i w_1)}{2}\right), \quad w_2 \leftarrow w_2 - \eta \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{(y_i - x_i w_1)^2}{2\exp(x_i w_2)} - \frac{x_i}{2}\right)$

d. $w_1 \leftarrow w_1 - \eta \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{(y_i - x_i w_1)}{\exp(x_i w_2)}\right), \quad w_2 \leftarrow w_2 + \eta \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{(y_i - x_i w_1)^2}{2} - \frac{x_i}{2}\right)$